# Pacific Northwest Software Quality Conference

Karen Burley
Engineering Section Manager, HP
November 8, 2011

#### What is PNSQC?



- >Theme: Delivering Quality
- Multi-disciplinary Quality conference that gathers professionals focused on sharing experiences, analyses, learning, and gaining new perspectives in order to stimulate and grow
- Majority of companies represented are Pacific Northwest or Bay Area

# My Goals (Hopes?) for PNSQC



- Learn how other companies do Test Automation (is there a Silver Bullet?)
- Learn about Release Criteria (when are we done enough to ship?)
- Find out what is top of mind for other QA professionals

#### What PNSQC Offered

- Controversy Keynote by Julian Harty
- Lots of Ideas
- >Lots of Opportunities to Share
- > A Forum for ongoing discussion
- Great posters and papers
- http://www.pnsqc.org/

### PNSQC Highlights



- Reliability before you Ship Wayne Roseberry, Microsoft (SharePoint)
- Introduction to Customer-Focused Test Design Alan Page, Microsoft
  - Start with unit testing and go bigger at each step (out to performance/reliability/security). The problem is we run out of time first, usually after functional testing
  - THIS IS A TRAP! GET TO WHAT CUSTOMERS CARE ABOUT
  - Cost of bugs: The really expensive bugs are the design bugs
  - Performance/reliability/security bugs are often design bugs
  - Functionality bugs, which we spend the most time on, are cheaper to fix
  - Risk mitigation analysis guides you to where to concentrate testing
- > How Agile Process Delivers Quality Diana Larson BOF
- Design for Delight John Ruberto, Intuit
  - The High Velocity Edge Steve Spear (uses Intel as example)
  - IDEO Enlightened trial-and-error results exceed over the lone innovator

## PNSQC Highlights



- Releasing Software How do you know you are done? Doug Whitney, McAfee
  - Process Milestones / Phased Rollouts / Cloud Rollouts
- Inspiring, Enabling & Driving Quality Improvement Jim Sartain, McAfee
  - NetPromoter Methodology
- Value Sync Robert Sabourin, Amibug.com
  - Conformance to Requirements Total Quality Movement Philip Crosby Do it right before you ship
  - 2. Suitability to Purpose Joseph Juran How the user uses the product (think Apple)
  - 3. Value to Stakeholders Gerry Weinberg, Tom Gilb Physical, emotional, ethical
- Personal Kanban Jim Benson
  - http://www.personalkanban.com/pk/
- > No Test Levels needed in Agile SW Development Leo van der Aallst
  - Testing is part of the definition of Done
  - Karen's epiphany

## PNSQC Highlights – Epiphany!



NOTE TO SELF: Our agile approach is broken because the customer proxy does not stay engaged! Throws requirements to us and want to do only final acceptance test



# Lightning Talk: Can QA Innovate?

Idea/Innovation	Type
Customer Monitoring Tool (for Domino Email Archiving)	Customer Benefit – Technical
<b>Test Automation Metrics</b>	Team Benefit – Process
Virtualization tools for Exchange and Domino	Team Benefit – Technical
Web Services API Automation for any Test Environment (Documented)	Team Benefit – Technical
API Scalability Test Capability	Team Benefit – Technical
Code Coverage Measurement	Team Benefit – Technical
Using CPE tools to Enhance QA Tests	Team Benefit – Technical
Agile QA with Scrumworks	Team Benefit – Process
Solution Testing	Customer Benefit – Technical



# Lightning Talk: Can QA Innovate?

Idea/Innovation	Туре
How my Project went from Waterfall to Agile Scrum Despite Distributed Teams	Team Benefit – Process
Implementing DB Availability Groups in Exchange 2010	Customer Benefit – Technical
<b>Quorum Clusters for File Archive Testing</b>	Customer Benefit –
File Archive Testing with IBRIX CIFS Share	Customer Benefit –
Root Cause Analysis	Team Benefit – Process
Using MBeans during Testing (Rather than UI/QTP)	Customer Benefit – Technical
IAP 2.2 Web Services (SOAP) Automation using QTP	Customer Benefit –
QA / Support Collaboration	Customer Benefit - Process
QC Interface Tools	Team Benefit – Technical

#### **Evaluation of QA Innovation**

- a, except for
- Initially, QA engineers \*hated\* this idea, except for two Early Adopters
- >Improved QA engineer's thought processes
- >Improved QA engineer's presentations skills
- >Improved sense of competency in their craft
- > Created healthy competition
- ➤ Most \*asked for\* goal for FY12

#### **Evaluation of PNSQC**



- > Verify much worth the time and cost
- >56 sessions to choose from, and I found it difficult to choose when there were 4 sessions running simultaneously
- >Lots of good discussions
- >Good mix of attendees companies, roles
- Excellent conference proceedings electronic and hardcopy